

Letters

Corrections to "Simultaneous Load-Pull of Intermodulation and Output Power Under Two-Tone Excitation for Accurate SSPA's Design"[†]

F. M. Ghannouchi, G. X. Zhao, and F. Beauregard

In the above paper,¹ printing errors occurred in Table I, which should have read as shown at the bottom of this page:

Correction to "High Gain Monolithic W-Band Low-Noise Amplifiers Based on Pseudomorphic High Electron Mobility Transistors"[‡]

Der-Wei Tu, Scott W. Duncan, A. Eskandarian, Bogdan Golja, Brittin C. Kane, Stefan P. Svensson, Sander Weinreb, and Norman E. Byer

[†]Manuscript received January 13, 1995.

F. M. Ghannouchi and F. Beauregard are with the Microwave Research Laboratory, Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, École Polytechnique de Montréal, C.P. 6079, Succursale A, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3A7, Canada.

G. X. Zhao is with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, R.O.C.

IEEE Log Number 9410689.

[‡]F. M. Ghannouchi, G. X. Zhao, and F. Beauregard, *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 42, no. 6, p. 932, June 1994.

[†]Manuscript received January 20, 1995.

D.-W. Tu, S. W. Duncan, B. Golja, B. C. Kane, S. P. Svensson, S. Weinreb, and N. E. Byer are with Martin Marietta Laboratories, Baltimore, MD 21227-3898 USA.

A. Eskandarian is with M/A-COM Microelectronic Center, Lowell, MA 01854 USA.

IEEE Log Number 9412379.

In the above paper,² Fig. 3. was printed upside down.

Corrections to "A New Electric Field Integral Equation for Heterogeneous Dielectric Bodies of Revolution"^{*}

Mark S. Viola

In the above paper,³ there are several typographical errors. Corrections to these misprints are delineated in that which follows.

In (7a), the terms " $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial G(\vec{r}|\vec{r}')}{\partial \rho}$ " and " $\nabla_T G(\vec{r}|\vec{r}')$ " should both be changed to read " $\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial G(\vec{r}|\vec{r}')}{\partial \phi}$ ".

Identical notational misprints appear in both the sixth line of the text following (8) and in the second unnumbered equation following (8). In each case, the term " $G_s(r|r')$ " should be replaced by " $G_s(\vec{r}|\vec{r}')$ ".

There is also a serious sign error in the third unnumbered equation following (8). That equation should be changed to read

$$G_s(\vec{r}|\vec{r}') = -\frac{1}{4\pi j k_s \rho} \{e^{-jk_s R} - e^{-jk_s R_0}\}.$$

Additionally, in the first line of the text following this equation, the term " $(z - z')^2$ " should be changed to " $(z - z')^2$ ".

Finally, there are sign errors in (9) and (10). The right-hand sides of (9) and (10) should both be multiplied by -1 .

^{*}D.-W. Tu *et al.*, "High gain monolithic W-band low-noise amplifiers based on pseudomorphic high electron mobility transistors," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 42, no. 12, p. 25, Dec. 1994.

[†]Manuscript received February 2, 1995.

The author is with the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325-3904 USA.

IEEE Log Number 9412380.

[‡]M. S. Viola, "A New electric field integral equation for heterogeneous bodies of revolution," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 230-233, Jan. 1995.

TABLE I
SELECTION OF LOAD REFLECTION COEFFICIENT FOR THREE DIFFERENT DESIGN OBJECTIVES AND THEIR ASSOCIATE PERFORMANCE
Case 1: Optimum Γ_L^p for maximum output power.
Case 2: Optimum Γ_L^η for maximum power-added efficiency.
Case 3: A trade-off Γ_L^s for an improvement of third-order intermodulation.
(The subscript s or t of the Γ_L indicates the single- or two-tone excitation, separately)

| | Γ_L | P_L (dBm) | G_p (dBm) | η_{add} (%) | C/IM_3 (dBc) |
|--------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Case 1 | $\Gamma_{Ls}^p = 0.53 \angle -89^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1) = 14.4$ | $G_p(f_1) = 14.4$ | 32 | 16.6 |
| | $\Gamma_{Lt}^p = 0.53 \angle -90^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1, f_2) = 13.7$ | G_p (per tone) = 13.7 | 29 | 16.6 |
| Case 2 | $\Gamma_{Ls}^\eta = 0.64 \angle -125^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1) = 13.2$ | $G_p(f_1) = 13.2$ | 40 | 15.5 |
| | $\Gamma_{Lt}^\eta = 0.58 \angle -113^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1, f_2) = 13.1$ | G_p (per tone) = 13.1 | 32 | 15.7 |
| Case 3 | $\Gamma_{Ls}^t = 0.26 \angle -168^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1) = 12.4$ | $G_p(f_1) = 12.4$ | 26 | 22 |
| | $\Gamma_{Lt}^t = 0.32 \angle -64^\circ$ | $P_L(f_1, f_2) = 12.4$ | G_p (per tone) = 12.4 | 22 | 22 |

*All cases: $P_{in}(f_1) = P_{in}(f_1, f_2) = 0$ dBm, P_{in} (per tone) = -3 dBm.